

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/758,456	BALLOU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Flemming Saether	3677	
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____		
(1) <u>Flemming Saether</u> .	(3) _____		
(2) <u>Mr. Detweiler</u> .	(4) _____		
Date of Interview: <u>11 August 2005</u>	Time: _____		
Type of Interview:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
1 and 12			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>Mr. Detweiler agreed to the Examiner's Amendment which was for clarification purposes.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)